

2806

RECEIVED

FEB 19 2010

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

From: David Lange [davidlange@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2010 12:44 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Comments on Chapter 95 Waste Treatment Requirements

Submitted to the Environmental Quality Board on February 12, 2010

By: David Lange
4820 Windsor Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19143

1. **TDS Standard:** DEP's proposed standard of 500 mg/L is still too high to ensure a sustainable environment for fish, aquatic life, and humans. The TDS standard should be reduced to 250 mg/L, for two reasons.

- Fish have been shown to be sensitive to and be adversely impacted by TDS at 250 mg/L.

- In addition, the background salinity of rivers and streams throughout our region is increasingly compromised by road salt used for de-icing.

According to Dr. Sujay Kaushal of Chesapeake Biological Lab, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, in a presentation to the AWRA on January 21st in Philadelphia,

"Chloride concentrations are increasing at a rate that threatens the availability of fresh water in the northeastern U.S. Increases in roadways and deicer use are now salinizing fresh waters, degrading habitat for aquatic organisms, and impacting large supplies of drinking water for humans throughout the region. We observed chloride concentrations up to 25% of the concentration of seawater in streams of Maryland, New York, and New Hampshire during winters, and chloride concentrations remaining up to 100 times greater than unimpacted forest streams during summers. Mean annual chloride concentration increased as a function of impervious surface and exceeded tolerance for freshwater life in suburban and urban watersheds. Our analysis shows that if salinity were to continue to increase at its present rate due to changes in impervious surface coverage and current management practices, many surface waters in the northeastern U.S. would not be potable for human consumption and would be toxic to freshwater life within the next century. "

Therefore, Proposed Modifications:

A) Effluent standard should be 250 mg/L for TDS, chlorides and sulfates;

B) TDS effluent standard should be stated as a daily maximum, not a monthly average;

C) Where streams are already compromised by salinization, no TDS discharge should be allowed, and

D) At a minimum, DEP should adopt statewide the current DRBC standard that discharges should not cause background in-stream concentration of TDS to rise above 133% of background levels.

2. **Applicability Thresholds:** For clarity, the 2,000 mg/L concentration threshold should be stated as a **daily** maximum. Also, it should be clearly stated that dilution of the wastewater stream cannot be used to escape the applicability thresholds.

3. **New and Existing Sources:** Existing sources of large TDS discharges should be immediately covered by the standard. New sources and new discharges at existing sources should be covered immediately by the standard. Industry unable to comply with the new standard should be required to cease discharging high-TDS waste immediately, even if that means ceasing operations. To do otherwise is to violate the right to clean water which is guaranteed in the Pennsylvania Constitution.

4. **Contaminants of Concern:** At least 85 known hazardous substances, including carcinogens, mutagens, neurotoxins, pesticides, and endocrine disruptors, known to have adverse impacts on 12 categories of human health as well as to cause environmental damage, are present in Marcellus Shale flowback fluids. (Sources: Delaware Riverkeeper Network; Pittsburgh Post-Gazette; The River Reporter, "What's In That Fracking Fluid?" December 8, 2009; The Endocrine Exchange; PA DEP; NYSdsgEIS.) Every one of these is a contaminant of concern. The appropriate level for any of these substances in our water is **zero**.

The safe level for radium 226 is 5 picocuries per liter; the Marcellus Shale flowback fluid has 2,000 to 16,000 picocuries of radium 226. Radium causes bone, liver and breast cancer.

DEP has an absolute responsibility to prevent radioactive substances in our rivers and streams, and in our drinking water.

Proposed Modification: DEP must monitor, and set a standard close to zero, for at least the following contaminants: arsenic, benzene, 2-butoxyethanol, radium 226, magnesium, bromides, and other Volatile Organic Chemicals. Bromides pose significant challenges for water purveyors due to the creation of brominated DBPs.

In addition, Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing should be required utilizing both an acute and chronic toxicity standard.

5. Effective Date: DEP should stop issuing any permits for water withdrawals, drilling, or waste treatment for Marcellus Shale drilling until these standards are in place and in effect. DEP should also stop allowing existing or proposed wastewater plants to discharge TDS at levels above these new standards. The effective date should not be extended for any reason. Our water quality, air quality, and public health are not for sale.

6. Monitoring: DEP must institute a clear monitoring system for Marcellus Shale wastewater. The public must be able to find out, with a transparent paper trail:

- how much waste is being generated at each site;
- how that waste is being stored, and by what means it is stored;
- how much waste is being transported, when and to where;
- what methods are being used to treat it;
- what chemicals are released into the air during storage, transfer, and treatment;
- whether Marcellus Shale waste is being used for brine or for road salt, or any kind of road treatment; and if so, quantity, date, and amount;
- how much toxic waste is left underground at each fracked gas well;
- what happens to radioactive waste;
- whether contaminated sludge is applied to fields or buried in the ground;
- whether Marcellus Shale waste is being taken out of state and if so, how much and where it is being taken, and what is the means of disposal.

7. Wastewater re-use: DEP must closely monitor re-use. Miles of plastic pipe transfers waste fluids from site to site, increasing hazardous waste spills harmful to plant, aquatic, animal, and human life. Toxins are also concentrated through re-use and left underground. This is dangerous and could be considered disposal rather than recycling.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.